

## The Impact of Globalization on Market Access and Financial Technology Innovation: A Literature Review on Economic Legal Challenges and Regulatory Compliance

Ria Purbiati<sup>1\*</sup>, Khayatudin<sup>2</sup>, Ali Huristak Hartawan Hasibuan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: [riapurbiati@student.uniska-kediri.ac.id](mailto:riapurbiati@student.uniska-kediri.ac.id)

<sup>2</sup>E-mail: [khayatudin@uniska-kediri.ac.id](mailto:khayatudin@uniska-kediri.ac.id)

<sup>3</sup>E-mail: [alijasibuan@uniska-kediri.ac.id](mailto:alijasibuan@uniska-kediri.ac.id)

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Faculty of Law, Islamic University of Kediri, Indonesia

\*corresponding author

### Article history:

Received in revised form: 12 October 2025

Acceptance date: 11 November 2025

Available online: 24 November 2025

### Keywords:

Globalisation, Market Access; Financial Technology (FinTech); Economic Law / Legal Challenges; Regulatory Compliance.

### How to Cite:

Purbiati, R., Khayatudin, & Hasibuan, A. H. H. (2025). The Impact of Globalization on Market Access and Financial Technology Innovation: A Literature Review on Economic Legal Challenges and Regulatory Compliance. *Al-Risalah Jurnal Ilmu Syariah Dan Hukum*.  
<https://doi.org/10.24252/al-risalah.vi.61985>

### License:

Copyright (c) The authors (2025)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Abstract

Globalization has had a significant impact on the dynamics of the global financial market, particularly through the expansion of market access and the acceleration of financial technology (fintech) innovation. Cross-border economic integration has encouraged the transfer of knowledge, capital, and technology, resulting in more inclusive and efficient digital financial services. However, these developments have also brought about complex economic legal challenges and regulatory compliance issues. Differences in legal frameworks across countries, consumer protection, personal data policies, and the implementation of Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Know Your Customer (KYC) principles are crucial factors influencing the success of fintech expansion internationally. This study uses a literature review method, examining academic literature, international reports, and relevant regulations to analyse the relationship between globalization, market access, fintech innovation, and regulatory challenges. The findings indicate that optimising the benefits of globalisation in the fintech sector requires adaptive regulation, cross-border legal harmonisation, and strong international cooperation, enabling innovation to develop safely and equitably without compromising global financial stability.

## INTRODUCTION

Globalisation has become a global phenomenon that affects almost every aspect of life, including the world's economic and financial systems. Advances in information, transportation, and communication technologies have erased many geographical boundaries, enabling cross-border interactions to occur quickly and efficiently.<sup>1</sup> In this increasingly integrated global landscape, market participants individuals, companies, and governments, face new opportunities and challenges that were previously unimaginable. Capital, goods, services, and information flow at unprecedented volumes and speeds, significantly impacting financial market dynamics, trade relations, and innovation in financial technology.<sup>2</sup>

Although numerous studies have examined the growth of FinTech and the broader effects of globalisation, most have treated these phenomena separately. Prior works have tended to focus either on the technological and financial dimensions of FinTech adoption. or on the macroeconomic impacts of globalisation. However, there remains a limited number of comprehensive reviews that explicitly link globalisation to the dynamics of FinTech diffusion, regulatory responses, and market access inequalities across jurisdictions. This gap underlines the need for a conceptual synthesis that integrates these strands of literature within an economic-legal framework.

Although numerous studies have examined the growth of FinTech and the broader effects of globalisation, most have treated these phenomena separately. Prior works have tended to focus either on the technological and financial dimensions of FinTech adoption<sup>3</sup> or on the macroeconomic impacts of globalisation.<sup>4</sup> However, there remains a limited number of comprehensive reviews that explicitly link globalisation to the dynamics of FinTech diffusion, regulatory responses, and market access inequalities across jurisdictions. This gap underlines the need for a conceptual synthesis that integrates these strands of literature within an economic-legal framework.

---

<sup>1</sup> Fauzul Hanif Noor Athief et al., "Intellectual Structure of Islamic Capital Market Studies: A Bibliometric Approach," *Journal of Scientometric Research* 14, no. 1 (May 2025): 239–54, <https://doi.org/10.5530/jscires.20250562>; Muji Mulia et al., "Conflict And Consensus in Fiqh Siyasa: The Practice of Islamic Law Across Various Cultures," *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun* 12, no. 3 (September 2024): 1263–1263, <https://doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v12i3.1363>; Darlin Rizki, Zakiyatul Fakhroh, and Universitas Gadjah Mada, *Logistik Halal Indonesia : Sebuah Pendekatan SWOT*, 2020.

<sup>2</sup> Emilio Abad-Segura et al., "Financial Technology: Review of Trends, Approaches and Management," *Mathematics* 8, no. 6 (June 2020): 951, <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8060951>.

<sup>3</sup> Douglas W. Arner, Janos Barberis, and Ross P. Buckley, "The Evolution of FinTech: A New Post-Crisis Paradigm," *Georgetown Journal of International Law* 47 (2016 2015): 1271.

<sup>4</sup> Dani Rodrik, *Straight Talk on Trade : Ideas for a Sane World Economy*, Princeton University Press, 2017, 1–336.

International trade and capital market integration have accelerated dramatically as a consequence of economic openness. This situation not only benefits countries with high competitiveness but also requires economic players to adopt adaptive strategies to remain relevant. In the financial services sector, this transformation demands technological innovation to reach increasingly broad and competitive markets. The development of fintech not only facilitates financial transaction efficiency but also opens opportunities for people in previously marginalised areas to access formal financial services.<sup>5</sup>

Financial technology or fintech has emerged as one of the fastest-growing sectors due to globalisation and the digital revolution. Services such as digital payments, peer-to-peer lending, crowdfunding, and blockchain technology have revolutionised the way people manage, send, and invest money. These innovations have reduced transaction costs, increased transparency, and expanded the reach of financial services across national borders. However, the global expansion of fintech is also accompanied by risks and challenges, particularly in the areas of consumer protection, data security, and compliance with regulations in various jurisdictions.<sup>6</sup>

Market access is a crucial element in the success of fintech development. Globalisation enables financial service providers to reach users from various countries almost instantly, creating unlimited business opportunities.<sup>7</sup> However, on the other hand, differences in technological infrastructure, financial literacy levels, and government policies can influence the speed of service penetration in certain markets. The main challenge is ensuring that market expansion benefits not only specific segments of the population but also vulnerable or underserved groups in society.<sup>8</sup>

Globalisation is driving the exchange of ideas, technology, and business models among financial industry players across countries. Fintech companies can learn from the successes and failures of operators in other countries, adapting innovative solutions to local contexts. Cross-border collaboration also fosters the development of new financial products that are more inclusive and efficient. However, the intensity of global

---

<sup>5</sup> Adesola Adelaja et al., "Advancing Financial Inclusion through Fintech: Solutions for Unbanked and Underbanked Populations," *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews* 23, no. 1 (August 2024): 427–38, <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.2.2379>.

<sup>6</sup> Christian Haddad and Lars Hornuf, "The Emergence of the Global Fintech Market: Economic and Technological Determinants," *Small Business Economics* 53, no. 1 (June 2019): 81–105, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-018-9991-x>.

<sup>7</sup> D. Pranata and D. Farandy, "Impact of Fintech on Financial Inclusion in Indonesia," *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues* 9, no. 5 (2019): 234–40, <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.8343>.

<sup>8</sup> M. Palmié and L. Thomas, "Supporting Fintech Development through Regulatory Sandboxes," *International Journal of Financial Innovation* 5, no. 2 (2020): 88–104.

competition forces fintech players to innovate rapidly, which can create a dilemma between the speed of innovation and compliance with legal norms and security standards.<sup>9</sup>

Globalisation offers vast opportunities for the fintech sector, yet significant barriers persist due to divergent regulatory frameworks across countries, particularly concerning data protection, identity verification, and licensing requirements.<sup>10</sup> These inconsistencies increase compliance costs and hinder international expansion, compelling firms to adapt to complex, multi-jurisdictional obligations such as Anti-Money Laundering (AML), Know Your Customer (KYC), and tax reporting standards. Beyond formal regulations, fintech companies also face ethical and social challenges, including data privacy, money laundering risks, and consumer protection dilemmas that require balancing legal compliance with operational agility.<sup>11</sup> Consequently, international efforts toward regulatory harmonisation—promoted by institutions such as the World Bank, IMF, and FATF—have become increasingly vital to ensure legal coherence, enhance market confidence, and support innovation. However, achieving such harmonisation remains difficult, as it demands intricate coordination across diverse political, economic, and technological contexts.

Although fintech promises broader access to financial services, the reality on the ground shows that significant digital gaps still exist. Globalisation can create new divides between developed and developing countries if not managed properly.<sup>12</sup> Factors such as limited internet infrastructure, low digital financial literacy, and distrust of technology systems are major barriers to financial inclusion. This indicates that regulatory approaches must not only regulate but also empower.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> Agnieszka Butor-Keler and Michał Polasik, "The Role of Regulatory Sandboxes in the Development of Innovations on the Financial Services Market: The Case of the United Kingdom," *Ekonomia i Prawo. Economics and Law* 19, no. 4 (December 2020): 621–38, <https://doi.org/10.12775/EiP.2020.041>.

<sup>10</sup> Oluwole Agbelade, "A Comparative Analysis of Financial Technology (Fintech) Legal Framework: Blockchain, Mobile Payment Solutions & Data Protection As Special Case Study," SSRN Scholarly Paper no. 4966672 (Rochester, NY: Social Science Research Network, December 20, 2023), <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4966672>.

<sup>11</sup> Muhamad Ammar Muhtadi and Okevanrianus Putra Hernat, "Dampak Perubahan Peraturan Perpajakan Internasional terhadap Praktik Akuntansi Pajak Multinasional pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di Jawa Barat," *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan West Science* 2, no. 03 (September 2023): 222–30, <https://doi.org/10.58812/jakws.v2i03.645>.

<sup>12</sup> Rajneesh Narula and John H. Dunning, "Industrial Development, Globalization and Multinational Enterprises: New Realities for Developing Countries," *Oxford Development Studies* 28, no. 2 (June 2000): 141–67, <https://doi.org/10.1080/713688313>.

<sup>13</sup> Fanji Farman M.Ak S. E. et al., *Inklusi Keuangan Di Negara Berkembang: Strategi Mengurangi Ketimpangan Ekonomi* (PT. Nawala Gama Education, 2025).

Regulation is not merely a protective instrument but also a guide for innovation development. A pro-innovation regulatory framework can create a conducive business environment for the development of new technologies without compromising the principles of financial system safety and stability. For example, the implementation of *regulatory sandboxes* in several countries allows innovators to test new services in a limited supervisory environment, enabling risks to be identified earlier before widespread launch.<sup>14</sup>

This research is relevant because it offers a comprehensive perspective on the interrelationship between globalisation, market access, fintech innovation, and economic law governance. Through a systematic literature review, this study seeks to synthesise cross-country evidence and theoretical insights to explain how globalisation shapes the diffusion of financial technology within diverse legal and institutional contexts. The study also explores how economic law frameworks can balance openness, innovation, and inclusivity in an increasingly interconnected digital economy.

The primary objective of this research is to analyse the asymmetric impacts of globalisation on market access and fintech diffusion across developed and developing economies, to evaluate the role of economic law and regulatory frameworks in mediating those impacts, and to propose an integrated policy model that harmonises innovation incentives with regulatory compliance and financial stability. Accordingly, this review is guided by three key questions:

1. How does globalisation influence market access and the diffusion of fintech innovation across different economic and institutional settings?
2. In what ways do economic law and regulatory frameworks enable or constrain financial innovation in a global context?
3. What legal-policy mechanisms can integrate innovation, inclusivity, and systemic stability in the governance of global fintech ecosystems?

These guiding questions frame the analytical focus of this study and connect its findings to broader discussions on economic legal reform, innovation diffusion, and global financial inclusion.

## **METODE PENELITIAN**

This study adopts a literature review method with a descriptive qualitative approach, aimed at exploring and synthesising existing scholarly perspectives on the intersection of globalisation, market access, financial technology (fintech) innovation, and economic

---

<sup>14</sup> Ade Darajat Martadikusuma, "Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Konsumen Dalam Transaksi Buy Now Pay Later (BNPL) Di Indonesia: Tinjauan Regulasi Dan Praktik Bisnis," *Al-Zayn : Jurnal Ilmu Sosial & Hukum* 3, no. 2 (May 2025): 489-504, <https://doi.org/10.61104/alz.v3i2.1062>.

legal frameworks. The research systematically examines a wide range of academic and institutional sources, including peer-reviewed international and national journal articles, authoritative academic books, and policy reports issued by reputable international organisations such as the World Bank, International Monetary Fund (IMF), and Financial Action Task Force (FATF), along with relevant legislative and regulatory documents from multiple jurisdictions.<sup>15</sup>

The process of data collection and analysis follows a structured thematic review strategy, where findings from diverse sources are identified, categorised, and compared to generate a comprehensive and integrative understanding of how globalisation influences market accessibility and drives innovation within the fintech sector <sup>16</sup>. This analytical framework not only highlights emerging trends and patterns in regulatory adaptation but also uncovers critical legal and economic challenges that arise from the rapid internationalisation of digital financial systems.

Furthermore, the literature-based approach enables a cross-disciplinary examination, linking theoretical insights with practical implications in economic law and policy. By synthesising these perspectives, the study aspires to contribute to academic discourse and policymaking by offering evidence-based recommendations for improving regulatory harmonisation, enhancing cross-border compliance mechanisms, and promoting an equitable and sustainable fintech ecosystem in the era of global interconnectedness.<sup>17</sup>

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 1. Globalisation and Market Access

Globalisation has opened financial markets to unprecedented levels of cross-border interaction, reshaping how individuals, firms, and governments access capital and technology. Advances in digitalisation, trade liberalisation, and financial integration have reduced transaction barriers and expanded opportunities for economic participation. However, these benefits are not evenly distributed. Institutional readiness

---

<sup>15</sup> Eliyah Eliyah and Aslan Aslan, "Stake's Evaluation Model: Metode Penelitian," *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Indonesia* 3, no. 2 (June 2025): 117–29.

<sup>16</sup> Rafiq Azzam Al Afif et al., "Ruang Lingkup Baru Studi Ekonomi Pembangunan Islam Di Indonesia: Pendekatan Bibliometrik Dan Systematic Literature Review," *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Islam* 10, no. 2 (July 2024): 2, <https://doi.org/10.29040/jiei.v10i2.14272>; Nurfitriana Nurfitriana and Darlin Rizki, "Determinasi Utang Luar Negeri Di Indonesia (Periode Tahun 1990-2021)," *Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi (JURKAMI)* 8, no. 1 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.31932/jpe.v8i1.2226>.

<sup>17</sup> Bart N. Green, Claire D. Johnson, and Alan Adams, "Writing Narrative Literature Reviews for Peer-Reviewed Journals: Secrets of the Trade," *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine* 5, no. 3 (September 2006): 101–17, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-3467\(07\)60142-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-3467(07)60142-6).

and infrastructure quality determine whether globalisation produces inclusion or inequality.<sup>18</sup>

In developed economies such as the United States, Japan, and members of the European Union, globalisation tends to enhance innovation and productivity through efficient capital allocation and strong regulatory enforcement. Advanced digital infrastructure and mature legal systems enable seamless integration of financial services into the global economy. Conversely, in many developing nations – including Indonesia, India, and Nigeria the same openness often exposes systemic weaknesses, such as low digital literacy, limited access to broadband infrastructure, and inconsistent enforcement of financial regulations.<sup>19</sup>

This disparity highlights a structural asymmetry: globalisation amplifies the advantages of nations with robust legal and technological ecosystems, while in less developed contexts, it may deepen inequality and create dependency. From the lens of *economic law theory*, this reflects a tension between market liberalisation and distributive justice.<sup>20</sup> Economic law, ideally, should not only facilitate market openness but also mediate fairness and accountability in cross-border exchanges. Hence, globalisation's impact is contingent on how well national legal systems translate openness into equitable market access.

Empirical evidence supports this divergence. In OECD countries, financial openness correlates positively with innovation and inclusion indices, while in non-OECD economies, the same correlation is weaker or even negative when institutional quality is low.<sup>21</sup> This means that legal and regulatory institutions serve as mediating variables, determining whether globalisation produces inclusive growth or market exclusion. The diffusion of innovation model (Rogers, 2003) provides an analytical framework to explain this phenomenon. In this model, innovation spreads through communication channels within a social system, moderated by factors such as compatibility, complexity, and observability. Applied to globalisation and financial technology, the diffusion process depends on institutional absorptive capacity how quickly a country or market can internalise and adapt global financial innovations.

---

<sup>18</sup> Narula and Dunning, "Industrial Development, Globalization and Multinational Enterprises."

<sup>19</sup> Fritz Hotman S. Damanik et al., *Transformasi Ekonomi: Inovasi dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Global di Abad ke-21* (Star Digital Publishing, 2025); Chen Wenwen, *The Impact of Trade Liberalization on Emerging Market Countries Competitiveness*, Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, 2023, <https://ela.kpi.ua/handle/123456789/63769>.

<sup>20</sup> Klaus Bosselmann, *The Principle of Sustainability: Transforming Law and Governance*, 2nd ed. (London: Routledge, 2016), <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315553955>.

<sup>21</sup> Allen N. Berger and Asli Demirgüç-Kunt, "Banking Research in the Time of COVID-19," *Journal of Financial Stability* 57 (2021): 100939–100939, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2021.100939>.

Developed countries, acting as “early adopters,” integrate global financial innovations more rapidly due to higher technological readiness and legal adaptability. Developing countries, as “late adopters,” face barriers such as regulatory inertia and infrastructure deficits that slow diffusion. This creates a temporal and structural lag in market access innovation spreads globally, but its adoption curve varies significantly by region.<sup>22</sup> Thus, globalisation not only facilitates innovation but also creates a stratified diffusion network where access to global financial benefits is hierarchically distributed. The role of economic law, therefore, is to flatten this hierarchy to ensure that legal harmonisation and regulatory cooperation enable equitable participation across jurisdictions.<sup>23</sup>

**Table 1.** Comparative Analytical Table: Globalisation and Market Access

Aspect	Developed Countries	Developing Countries	Theoretical Implication
<b>Infrastructure Readiness</b>	Advanced digital and financial infrastructure	Limited digital networks and uneven access	Institutional readiness mediates the diffusion speed of innovation
<b>Legal Framework</b>	Mature, predictable, enforceable economic law	Fragmented, inconsistent, often under-enforced	Legal certainty is a determinant of innovation diffusion
<b>Market Impact of Globalisation</b>	Enhances efficiency and innovation	Risks increasing dependency and inequality	Globalisation is conditional on institutional quality
<b>Adoption of FinTech Innovation</b>	Early adoption, high scalability	Slow adoption, regulatory lag	Diffusion follows the innovation adoption curve (Rogers, 2003)
<b>Policy Focus</b>	Harmonisation and cross-border collaboration	Capacity building and institutional reform	Economic law should balance openness and equity

Sourch: Processed Data (2025)

The comparison between developed and developing nations underscores that globalisation’s benefits are not automatic but legally and institutionally mediated. From an economic law perspective, this means that the *efficiency function* of law (to promote market participation) must coexist with its *corrective function* (to address structural

<sup>22</sup> Haddad and Hornuf, “The Emergence of the Global Fintech Market.”

<sup>23</sup> Meria Utama, *Hukum Ekonomi Internasional* (Jakarta: PT. Fikahati Aneska, 2012).

imbalances). Effective governance thus requires not only liberalisation but also legal adaptation mechanisms for instance, bilateral regulatory cooperation, digital literacy programs, and harmonised standards on data protection and licensing. In summary, globalisation shapes market access through a complex interaction between institutional capacity, legal design, and technological diffusion. The more harmonised and adaptive a nation's economic law framework, the greater its potential to convert globalisation into inclusive innovation rather than exclusionary competition.

## **2. FinTech Innovation as a Driver of Inclusion and Transformation**

The rapid advancement of financial technology (FinTech) represents one of the most transformative effects of globalisation on modern finance. By leveraging digital platforms, FinTech bridges geographical and institutional barriers that previously restricted access to financial services.<sup>24</sup> Digital payments, peer-to-peer lending, crowdfunding, and blockchain applications have disrupted traditional financial models, introducing efficiency and flexibility unmatched by conventional banks.

Globalisation fuels this process by enabling cross-border collaboration and technological diffusion. International competition pushes firms to innovate continuously, while global investment inflows fund the expansion of FinTech ecosystems. FinTech thus operates as both an outcome and an engine of globalisation, it arises from global integration but also accelerates it. The process is cumulative: as digital networks expand, transaction costs fall, and participation widens.<sup>25</sup>

From an analytical standpoint, FinTech innovation functions as a mediating and feedback variable. It converts global capital flows and technology transfer into tangible inclusion gains particularly for unbanked or underbanked populations.<sup>26</sup> Yet, it simultaneously generates new risks: cybercrime, data misuse, and market volatility. Therefore, the causal link between globalisation and innovation is moderated by regulatory quality and consumer trust. The success of FinTech innovation in promoting inclusion is context-dependent. In countries with advanced legal frameworks and high financial literacy, innovation expands financial participation and accelerates credit distribution. In contrast, in markets where institutions are fragile, innovation may lead to unregulated speculation and systemic risk. This demonstrates that technology alone is insufficient regulation, education, and trust form the triad supporting sustainable innovation.

---

<sup>24</sup> Abad-Segura et al., "Financial Technology."

<sup>25</sup> Haddad and Hornuf, "The Emergence of the Global Fintech Market."

<sup>26</sup> Adelaja et al., "Advancing Financial Inclusion through Fintech."

Furthermore, the diffusion of FinTech through globalisation has fostered new hybrid business models integrating social and environmental dimensions, such as *green finance*, *micro-lending platforms*, and *digital waqf*. These models demonstrate that FinTech can align profit with social impact.<sup>27</sup> However, these opportunities bring responsibilities: firms must comply with international standards on consumer protection, data security, and ethical use of technology.

FinTech's evolution also signals a paradigm shift in the financial inclusion agenda. Traditional microfinance institutions have been outpaced by mobile banking and digital platforms that reach remote populations in real time.<sup>28</sup> In this regard, FinTech becomes a catalyst for democratizing finance, though its transformative potential depends on local adaptation and global cooperation. In summary, FinTech innovation constitutes both the product and mechanism of globalisation's impact on the financial system. It transforms market access into inclusive participation, provided that regulatory oversight, ethical standards, and technological infrastructure evolve simultaneously.

### **3. Regulatory Frameworks, Economic Law, and Global Compliance**

Despite the opportunities offered by globalisation and FinTech innovation, regulatory diversity remains the most persistent barrier to global financial integration. Each jurisdiction enforces different rules on licensing, data protection, and supervision, creating a complex web of overlapping and sometimes conflicting requirements.<sup>29</sup> These differences increase compliance costs and slow innovation, especially for FinTech startups that lack legal resources to navigate multiple systems. This fragmentation gives rise to regulatory arbitrage, where firms exploit jurisdictional loopholes to minimise obligations, undermining fair competition.<sup>30</sup> Analytically, this reflects a feedback loop:

*Globalisation → Regulatory Complexity → Compliance Burden → Reduced Innovation Capacity.*

Meanwhile, the reverse holds true:

*Harmonised Regulation → Legal Certainty → Market Confidence → Sustainable Innovation.*

---

<sup>27</sup> Nelly Nur Rohmah et al., "Peran Fintech Dalam Pembentukan Pasar Global Untuk Mata Uang Digital: Dampak Terhadap Stabilitas Ekonomi," *PENG: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Manajemen* 2, no. 1b (January 2025): 2471-79, <https://doi.org/10.62710/yzn1q91>.

<sup>28</sup> Muhammad Farhan Jalil, "Microfinance towards Micro-Enterprises Development in Rural Malaysia through Digital Finance," *Discover Sustainability* 2, no. 1 (December 2021): 55, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-021-00066-3>.

<sup>29</sup> Otieno and Kiraka, "Navigating the Regulatory Labyrinth."

<sup>30</sup> Annelise Riles, "Managing Regulatory Arbitrage: A Conflict of Laws Approach," *Cornell International Law Journal* 47 (2014): 63.

Efforts by international bodies – such as the IMF, FATF, and World Bank – to promote regulatory harmonisation and compliance frameworks represent key steps toward mitigating fragmentation.<sup>31</sup> Nonetheless, convergence is slow due to political asymmetries and institutional disparities among nations. As Utama (2012) notes, successful harmonisation requires not only shared norms but also shared enforcement capacity.

To address this, many countries are adopting adaptive regulatory mechanisms such as regulatory sandboxes, controlled environments where innovation can be tested under supervision.<sup>32</sup> This approach exemplifies the principle of proportional regulation, ensuring that rules are neither too rigid to stifle innovation nor too lenient to enable abuse. From the perspective of economic law, regulatory frameworks serve as the moderating variable in the globalisation-innovation nexus. They determine how far FinTech can grow sustainably while safeguarding consumer trust and financial stability. Strong legal institutions, transparent governance, and cross-border cooperation are prerequisites for realising the potential of digital finance.

**Table 2.** Conceptual Map of Analytical Findings

Theme	Analytical Relationship	Causal Mechanism	Policy Implication
Globalisation → Market Access	Market openness increases opportunities but deepens inequality without institutional readiness.	Institutional and digital infrastructure mediate inclusiveness.	Strengthen governance and infrastructure to ensure equitable access.
Market Access → FinTech Innovation	Broader access accelerates innovation and financial inclusion.	Competition and capital mobility drive adaptive innovation.	Promote collaboration and inclusive digital policy.
Globalisation → Regulation and Economic Law	Cross-border activity heightens regulatory complexity.	Fragmented legal systems hinder innovation diffusion.	Encourage international harmonisation and mutual recognition.

<sup>31</sup> Deb, “Challenges and Opportunities in Fintech Regulation.”

<sup>32</sup> Butor-Keler and Polasik, “The Role of Regulatory Sandboxes in the Development of Innovations on the Financial Services Market.”

Theme	Analytical Relationship	Causal Mechanism	Policy Implication
Regulation → Innovation Feedback	Regulation both constrains and stimulates innovation.	Adaptive frameworks (e.g., sandboxes) mitigate risk while fostering growth.	Build flexible yet accountable oversight systems.

Source: Processed Data (2025)

Furthermore, economic law in the era of globalisation must be dynamic and responsive. Laws designed for conventional trade are no longer sufficient for digital ecosystems characterised by borderless transactions. This requires legal reforms that recognise the characteristics of digital trade—speed, data sensitivity, and algorithmic risk. The objective is to strike a balance between innovation freedom and systemic security.<sup>33</sup>

Ultimately, the findings highlight that effective regulatory governance is the linchpin connecting globalisation, market access, and FinTech innovation. Harmonised and adaptive economic law not only ensures legal certainty but also provides strategic flexibility for sustainable global financial development.

## CONCLUSION

Globalisation has become a major catalyst for expanding market access and accelerating the diffusion of financial technology (fintech) innovation. The integration of global financial systems enables cross-border capital mobility, knowledge exchange, and technological collaboration that transform how societies access financial services. However, these benefits are not distributed evenly. Developed economies with mature digital and legal infrastructures tend to adopt fintech innovations faster and more sustainably, while developing countries still face digital divides, limited institutional capacity, and weak regulatory enforcement. This disparity demonstrates that globalisation amplifies existing structural strengths and weaknesses rather than automatically creating equitable opportunities.

From the perspective of economic law theory, globalisation and technological innovation must be viewed as interdependent processes governed by institutional

<sup>33</sup> Sultan Mehmood, *The Rule of Law Approach for More Resilient Institutions: Judicial Accountability and Independence, and Global Economic Activities*, Working Paper no. 1418 (ADBI Working Paper, 2023), <https://doi.org/10.56506/FGHQ1674>.

design. Legal certainty, regulatory adaptability, and distributive justice are essential to ensuring that global market openness translates into inclusive development. Likewise, the innovation diffusion model explains how fintech adoption follows different trajectories across nations depending on institutional readiness and absorptive capacity. Thus, the interaction between globalisation, regulation, and innovation produces a layered effect: where strong institutions accelerate inclusion, while fragmented regulation constrains the spread of financial technology and limits its societal impact.

Theoretically, this study contributes by integrating globalisation and fintech literature through an economic-legal lens, highlighting regulation as both an enabler and constraint in innovation diffusion. Practically, it calls for a coordinated global governance approach that balances innovation incentives with accountability and consumer protection. Strengthening international cooperation, harmonising cross-border regulatory standards, and promoting inclusive digital infrastructure are key policy steps toward ensuring that fintech innovation contributes to sustainable, transparent, and equitable financial ecosystems. With such balance, globalisation can evolve from a driver of competition into a framework for economic justice and institutional resilience in the digital era.

## REFERENCES

- Abad-Segura, Emilio, Mariana-Daniela González-Zamar, Eloy López-Meneses, and Esteban Vázquez-Cano. "Financial Technology: Review of Trends, Approaches and Management." *Mathematics* 8, no. 6 (June 2020): 951. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8060951>.
- Adelaja, Adesola, Stanley Umeorah, Bibitayo Abikoye, and Michelle Nezianya. "Advancing Financial Inclusion through Fintech: Solutions for Unbanked and Underbanked Populations." *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews* 23, no. 1 (August 2024): 427-38. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.2.2379>.
- Afif, Rafiq Azzam Al, Darlin Rizki, Rohayani Mustikasari, and Rifqi Praditya. "Ruang Lingkup Baru Studi Ekonomi Pembangunan Islam Di Indonesia: Pendekatan Bibliometrik Dan Systematic Literature Review." *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Islam* 10, no. 2 (July 2024): 2. <https://doi.org/10.29040/jiei.v10i2.14272>.
- Agbelade, Oluwole. "A Comparative Analysis of Financial Technology (Fintech) Legal Framework: Blockchain, Mobile Payment Solutions & Data Protection As Special Case Study." SSRN Scholarly Paper No. 4966672. Rochester, NY: Social Science Research Network, December 20, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4966672>.

- Arner, Douglas W., Janos Barberis, and Ross P. Buckley. "The Evolution of FinTech: A New Post-Crisis Paradigm." *Georgetown Journal of International Law* 47 (2016 2015): 1271.
- Athief, Fauzul Hanif Noor, Luthfiyyah Nurul Izzah, Darlin Rizki, and Afief El-Ashfahany. "Intellectual Structure of Islamic Capital Market Studies: A Bibliometric Approach." *Journal of Scientometric Research* 14, no. 1 (May 2025): 239–54. <https://doi.org/10.5530/jscires.20250562>.
- Berger, Allen N., and Asli Demirgüç-Kunt. "Banking Research in the Time of COVID-19." *Journal of Financial Stability* 57 (2021): 100939–100939. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2021.100939>.
- Bosselmann, Klaus. *The Principle of Sustainability: Transforming Law and Governance*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315553955>.
- Butor-Keler, Agnieszka, and Michał Polasik. "The Role of Regulatory Sandboxes in the Development of Innovations on the Financial Services Market: The Case of the United Kingdom." *Ekonomia i Prawo. Economics and Law* 19, no. 4 (December 2020): 621–38. <https://doi.org/10.12775/EiP.2020.041>.
- Damanik, Fritz Hotman S., Hisar Sirait, Ayu Minarsi, Irawan Abae, Andra Juansa, Tyahya Whisnu Hendratni, and Jan Horas Veryady Purba. *Transformasi Ekonomi: Inovasi dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Global di Abad ke-21*. Star Digital Publishing, 2025.
- Deb, Aradhita. "Challenges and Opportunities in Fintech Regulation." In *Examining Global Regulations During the Rise of Fintech*, 187–220. IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3803-2.ch008>.
- Eliyah, Eliyah, and Aslan Aslan. "STAKE'S EVALUATION MODEL: METODE PENELITIAN." *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Indonesia* 3, no. 2 (June 2025): 117–29.
- Green, Bart N., Claire D. Johnson, and Alan Adams. "Writing Narrative Literature Reviews for Peer-Reviewed Journals: Secrets of the Trade." *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine* 5, no. 3 (September 2006): 101–17. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-3467\(07\)60142-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-3467(07)60142-6).
- Haddad, Christian, and Lars Hornuf. "The Emergence of the Global Fintech Market: Economic and Technological Determinants." *Small Business Economics* 53, no. 1 (June 2019): 81–105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-018-9991-x>.
- Jalil, Muhammad Farhan. "Microfinance towards Micro-Enterprises Development in Rural Malaysia through Digital Finance." *Discover Sustainability* 2, no. 1 (December 2021): 55. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-021-00066-3>.
- M.Ak, Fanji Farman, S. E., Abdul Somad M.Ak SS, Go George Herbert MM S. E., Dian Widyantini M.Ak S. E., Ak, Arlinta Prasetyan Dewi M.E.Sy, Riyadatul Muthmainnah M.Ak, Nila Rahayu M.M S. Pd, Fatmawati MM SE, Dr Yuli Dewi M.Ak, and Dr KMT Lasmiatun M.Si SE. *Inklusi Keuangan Di Negara Berkembang: Strategi Mengurangi Ketimpangan Ekonomi*. PT. Nawala Gama Education, 2025.

- Martadikusuma, Ade Darajat. "Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Konsumen Dalam Transaksi Buy Now Pay Later (BNPL) Di Indonesia: Tinjauan Regulasi Dan Praktik Bisnis." *Al-Zayn : Jurnal Ilmu Sosial & Hukum* 3, no. 2 (May 2025): 489-504. <https://doi.org/10.61104/alz.v3i2.1062>.
- Mehmood, Sultan. *The Rule of Law Approach for More Resilient Institutions: Judicial Accountability and Independence, and Global Economic Activities*. Working Paper No. 1418. ADBI Working Paper, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.56506/FGHQ1674>.
- Muhtadi, Muhamad Ammar, and Okevanrianus Putra Hernat. "Dampak Perubahan Peraturan Perpajakan Internasional terhadap Praktik Akuntansi Pajak Multinasional pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di Jawa Barat." *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan West Science* 2, no. 03 (September 2023): 222-30. <https://doi.org/10.58812/jakws.v2i03.645>.
- Mulia, Muji, Zulfatmi Zulfatmi, Zakki Fuad Khalil, Cecep Soleh Kurniawan, and Darlin Rizki. "Conflict And Consensus in Fiqh Siyash: The Practice of Islamic Law Across Various Cultures." *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun* 12, no. 3 (September 2024): 1263-1263. <https://doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v12i3.1363>.
- Narula, Rajneesh, and John H. Dunning. "Industrial Development, Globalization and Multinational Enterprises: New Realities for Developing Countries." *Oxford Development Studies* 28, no. 2 (June 2000): 141-67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713688313>.
- Nurfitriana, Nurfitriana, and Darlin Rizki. "Determinasi Utang Luar Negeri Di Indonesia (Periode Tahun 1990-2021)." *Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi (JURKAMI)* 8, no. 1 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.31932/jpe.v8i1.2226>.
- Otieno, Geoffrey, and Ruth Kiraka. "Navigating the Regulatory Labyrinth: Compliance Dilemmas and Lead User Innovation in the Fintech Sector." *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence* 18, no. 1 (June 2024): 2581-93. <https://doi.org/10.2478/picbe-2024-0217>.
- Palmié, M., and L. Thomas. "Supporting Fintech Development through Regulatory Sandboxes." *International Journal of Financial Innovation* 5, no. 2 (2020): 88-104.
- Pranata, D., and D. Farandy. "Impact of Fintech on Financial Inclusion in Indonesia." *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues* 9, no. 5 (2019): 234-40. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.8343>.
- Riles, Annelise. "Managing Regulatory Arbitrage: A Conflict of Laws Approach." *Cornell International Law Journal* 47 (2014): 63.
- Rizki, Darlin, Zakiyatul Fakhriroh, and Universitas Gadjah Mada. *Logistik Halal Indonesia : Sebuah Pendekatan SWOT*. 2020.
- Rodrik, Dani. *Straight Talk on Trade : Ideas for a Sane World Economy*. Princeton University Press, 2017, 1-336.

- Rohmah, Nelly Nur, Nu'matun Najibah, Amelia Rohmawati, and Pungky Lela Saputri. "Peran Fintech Dalam Pembentukan Pasar Global Untuk Mata Uang Digital: Dampak Terhadap Stabilitas Ekonomi." *PENG: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Manajemen* 2, no. 1b (January 2025): 2471–79. <https://doi.org/10.62710/yzn1q91>.
- Utama, Meria. *Hukum Ekonomi Internasional*. Jakarta: PT. Fikahati Aneska, 2012.
- Wenwen, Chen. *The Impact of Trade Liberalization on Emerging Market Countries Competitiveness*. Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, 2023. <https://ela.kpi.ua/handle/123456789/63769>.